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## Overview

- The objectives
- A shield-based introduction to GA and MGGA
- Designing a shadow shield
- Designing a gamma shield
- Designing a bowtie filter
- Conclusions


## The Objectives

1. Determine if GA can be used to help find innovative shield designs
2. Determine if MGGA works, and if it can save computing resources compared to GA

## Consider a Shielding Problem You Want to Explore

## Start with a Bunch of Random Candidate Shields <br> 

Call this a generation

## Encode Each Shield into a Manageable Form



101111101

Call this encoded version a chromosome

## Apply a Fitness Function



The fitness function captures your idea of what it means to be a good shield.

## Pick A Shield via a Tournament



- Randomly pick several shields (4)
- Usually pick the best (75\%), sometimes pick the worst (25\%)


## Maybe - copy (10\%)

Take a tournament winner


Maybe - mutate (20\%)


Take a tournament winner


Change it

Put it in the next generation

Put it in the next generation

## Maybe - combine 2 shields using crossover (70\%) <br> 

Take a tournament winner


And another tournament winner


Combine them using crossover to create two new individuals, put them in the next generation

## Iterate

1 Initial Population
$\downarrow 11000011 \quad 1010$
2 Current Generation 3 Select and Reproduce 1100
4 New Population
Keep iterating until your termination condition is met

## Why Does GA Work

- Chromosomes encode useful building blocks
- Crossover combines building blocks
- Mutation adds diversity to avoid local maxima
- The fitness function ranks individuals for selection

Best Fitness


Average Fitness


## GA for Expensive Problems

- Fitness function calls can be distributed on a cluster
- Genetik leverages the UM cluster to scavenge resources within existing constraints
- MGGA is a new meta-algorithm for GA that uses recursive refinement of the problem space to generate building blocks more efficiently saving fitness function evaluations


## Multi-Grid Genetic Algorithms

- Break the problem into phases based on geometric scaling
- Run GA on at each phase
- Translate individuals between phases


Rough Grid


Coarse Grid


Fine Grid

## Why does MGGA Work

- Complex building blocks and parts of complex building blocks from later phases can be created in earlier phases where they are less complex


## Best Fitness



Average Fitness

- Very large problem spaces, from later phases, are seeded with better than random individuals from the start


How do we shield a nuclear spacecraft?

## Designing A Shadow Shield

- Alpay and Holloway have discovered that the obvious shield isn't the best shield (2005)
- Splitting a shield helps, could there be an even more interesting result?
© Can MGGA help find an unexpected shield?


Obvious Shield


Improved Shield

## Shadow Shield Geometry

Shield area
Cone of visibility

Source


Example
shield
made of equal
mass rings

## Two Fitness Functions

## Max Flux

## ByLocation

$F(s)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\min _{i}\left(1-\frac{\phi_{i}}{\phi_{i}(S)}\right) & \text { if } \max _{k}\left(\phi_{k}(S)\right)<\phi_{i}(s) \text { for any } i \\ 1-\frac{m(s)}{m(S)} & \text { otherwise. }\end{array} F(s)= \begin{cases}\min _{i}\left(1-\frac{\phi_{i}}{\phi_{i}(S)}\right) & \text { if } \phi_{i}(S)<\phi_{i}(s) \text { for any } i \\ 1-\frac{m(s)}{m(S)} & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}\right.$

Beat the full (heaviest) shield at its worst detector


## Results for "Max Flux"

GA
MGGA


- Fitness $=.75$
- Cells $=64$
- Passed max flux
- Scored on mass
- $16 \times 16$ grid
- Tested at most 10,620 shields
- Fitness $=.96$
- Cells = 10
- Passed max flux
- Scored on mass
- $2 \times 2 \rightarrow 4 \times 4 \rightarrow$ $8 \times 8 \rightarrow 16 \times 16$ grid
- Tested at most 10,600 shields


## $1.16 \times 10^{77}$ Possible Shields

## Results for "Max Flux" $32 \times 32$

GA

MGGA


© Fitness $=.5$<br>- Cells = 509<br>- Passed max flux<br>- Scored on mass<br>- Tested at most 15,000 shields

## $16 \times 16$ vs. $32 \times 32$



## Results for ByLocation

GA
MGGA

. Fitness $=.45$

- Cells = 140
- Passed flux by location test
- Scored on mass
- Tested at most 10,620 shields
- Fitness $=.81$
- Cells = 47
- Passed flux by location test
- Scored on mass
- Tested at most 10,600 shields
 $1.16 \times 10^{77}$ Possible Shields


## Non-Equal Mass Rings GA

- Fitness = 0.572
- Passed flux test
- Scored on mass
- Tested at most 10,620 shields
-MGGA opened up interesting scatter paths
$1.16 \times 10^{77}$ Possibles


How do we shield radiation workers and first responders?

## Designing A Gamma Shield

- McCaffrey, et.al. showed that low-Z/ high-Z layering can beat an equal mass lead shield (2009)
- Can MGGA organize layers to take advantage of atomic physics?


$$
F(s)= \begin{cases}1-(D(s) \times 1000) & \text { if } m(s)<m(S) \\ -\frac{m(s)}{m(S)} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

## Low Energy Setup

- Consider a shield of width T separated into layers
- Place a mono-energetic gamma source on one side
- Place water on the other side, and tally the dose at various depths



## Slab Geometry Results

- $<20,000$ shields - GA
- $<17,500$ shields - MGGA
- 16 layers for GA
- 4,8 and 16 layers for MGGA
- $3.32 \times 10^{13}$ possible shields

GA 50kev

| Energy | Fitness | Reduction \% | Algorithm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 50 kev | 0.99996 | 79.69 | GA |
| 50 Kev | 0.99994 | 67.7 | MGGA |
| 75 Kev | 0.99905 | 83.54 | GA |
| 75 Kev | 0.99908 | 84.09 | MGGA |
| 100 kev | 0.9973 | 31.02 | GA |
| 100 kev | 0.9967 | 15.57 | MGGA |
| 150 kev | 0.9796 | 1.313 | GA |
| 150 kev | 0.9793 | 0.158 | MGGA |
| 200 kev | 0.9459 | 1.319 | GA |
| 200 kev | 0.9453 | 0.203 | MGGA |


| sfe | so | \$6 | Sn | Sn | Sn | \$ | So | * | . | . | \$ | Sn | . | Sn | Bi | Bi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SRC | . | . | . | w | w | w | Sn | . | . | Sn | .. | . | \$ | Fb | . | . |

MGGA 75kev

## Why isn't MGGA Winning?

- There aren't a lot of complex building blocks to carry through phases
- Later phases get locked into locally good solutions


MGGA

## The ORNL Phantom

- Consider a realistic human phantom
- Wrap the torso, head and groin with a shield
- Calculate a total body dose (minus the legs)
- Can a layered shield beat a lead shield of equal mass?


$$
F(s)= \begin{cases}1-D(s) & \text { if } m(s)<m(S) \\ -\frac{m(s)}{m(S)} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

## Results on the ORNL Phantom

- 16 layers for GA
- 4, 8, 16 layers for MGGA
- MGGA tested 22,500 shields
- GA tested 20,000 shields

| Energy | Reduction \% | Algorithm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 50 Kev | 11.368 | MGGA |
| 50 Kev | 10.98 | GA |
| 75 Kev | 66.89 | MGGA |
| 75 kev | 65.4 | GA |
| 100 Kev | 27.62 | MGGA |
| 100 kev | 22.65 | GA |



MGGA 75kev

## $2.65 \times 10^{30}$ Possible Shields

## Can We Shape Fluence?

## Designing A Bow-Tie Filter

- Filters are often used to shape radiation (Mail 2009)
- Can MGGA shape the profile of scattered to total flux on a target plane?


$$
F(s)= \begin{cases}1-1000 \times \text { count of zero tallies } & \text { if any tallies are zero } \\ 1-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N}\left(\frac{\phi_{s}(i)}{\phi_{t}(i)}-\left\langle\frac{\phi_{s}}{\phi_{t}}\right\rangle\right)^{2} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

## Results With Aluminum

Detector Radius

Scatter
Total


 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|llllllllllllll}
\hline Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al <br>
\hline
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\hline
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\end{tabular} Al






 | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 \begin{tabular}{cc|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c}
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Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al <br>
\hline

 

Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al \& Al <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

|  | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Al |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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 Al |  | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

 |  | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al | Al |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Al | Al |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Results at 120kev

## GA

| Al | Ti | Al | Ti | Ti | Ti | Ti |  |  | Al | Al |  |  | Ti | Ti |  |  | Ti | Ti |  |  | Al | Al |  |  | Ti | Ti | Ti | Ti | Al |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ti | Al |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |















Fitness $=0.996$

- 22,500 tested
- $16 \times 16$ grid



## $1.4 \times 10^{122}$ Possible Shields

## MGGA


















- Fitness $=0.997$
- 22,500 tested
- $4 \times 4,8 \times 8,16 \times 16$ grid



## Future Work

- Look into different ways to tune MGGA
- Selection during phase translations
- Adding diversity during translations
- Using different GA parameters at each phase (tournament selection, mutation rate)
- Investigate using physical error in fitness functions
- Simulate larger, more realistic geometries, using more computing resources


## Summary

- MGGA beat GA on fitness in most cases
- MGGA beat GA on time in all cases
- MGGA provided interesting design paths
- Detailed neutron scattering paths
- Lightweight, layered gamma shield
- Manufacturable multi-element bow-tie filter
- MGGA provides a powerful investigative design tool


## Thank You
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## Backup Slides

## Why LiH?

- Lightweight
- Hydrogen slows fast neutrons
- Li helps absorb slow neutrons
- Minimal gamma
- Common choice in the literature


## High Z - Low Z <br> 50 kev

Tally (MeV/g)


## 100 Kev



## Ti-Al Cross sections



